

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MICHAEL CHARLES MEISLER,	)	3:12-cv-00487-MMD-WGC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b><u>MINUTES OF THE COURT</u></b>
vs.	)	
	)	April 15, 2015
NADINE CHRZANOWSKI, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

---

PRESENT: THE HONORABLE WILLIAM G. COBB, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DEPUTY CLERK: KATIE LYNN OGDEN REPORTER: NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING

**MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:**

Before the court is Plaintiff's "Ex Parte Motion Seeking the Court to Accept a Prepaid Telephone Call." (Doc. # 54.<sup>1</sup>) For the reasons stated in this Order, Plaintiff's *ex parte* motion is denied.

As grounds for his request for the court to accept weekly calls from the Plaintiff, Mr. Meisler avers that under Nevada Department of Corrections (NDOC) rules and regulations, a call which he makes from his segregated housing unit to the Clerk's Office does not qualify as a "privileged call." He argues that a call to the Clerk of the Court, as an official of the court, should be privileged and not monitored. Plaintiff also represents that a call made to the Judge's Chambers is considered "exempt" as it is legal in nature and is not recorded (*id.*, at 6-7). Plaintiff avers that calls to Chambers are exempted and he can make those calls in unlimited quantity.<sup>2</sup>

Plaintiff has apparently grieved this "discriminatory" policy to the Nevada Department of Corrections (*id.*, at 5-6). Plaintiff submits that if he had still been a practicing attorney and not an incarcerated inmate, a call to the District Judge's or Magistrate Judge's chambers would supposedly be in the regular course of his daily activities as a trial attorney (*id.* at 6). Pending a resolution of that grievance, he requests that the offices of District Judge Du and Magistrate Judge Cobb accept the prepaid calls from him so that "he can ascertain the status of his pending case." Plaintiff suggests that he be permitted one 7 minute prepaid call to Chambers per week. (*Id.*, at 6-7.)

---

<sup>1</sup> Refers to court's docket number.

<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff states that on April 7, 2015, he attempted 27 prepaid calls between his cell and the chambers of District Judge Miranda M. Du and Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb (*id.*, at 4).

<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff's motion also contends his "legal mail" has been "seized or destroyed by NDOC officials" and that "critical deadlines have been missed...." (Doc. # 54 at 2). Plaintiff does not identify what deadlines have been missed. Regardless, these complaints are also beyond the scope of Plaintiff's FAC and will not be entertained by the court via Plaintiff's motion.